arXiv:1606.05155v1 [math.NT] 16 Jun 2016

EVALUATION OF THE CONVOLUTION SUMS $\sum_{\substack{(l,m)\in\mathbb{N}_0^2\\ \alpha l+\beta m=n}}\sigma(l)\sigma(m)$, WHERE $\alpha\beta = 44, 52$

EBÉNÉZER NTIENJEM

ABSTRACT. The convolution sum, $\sum_{\substack{(l,m)\in\mathbb{N}_0^2\\ \alpha l+\beta m=n}}\sigma(l)\sigma(m)$, where $\alpha\beta = 44, 52$, is evaluated for all natural numbers n. We then use these convolution sums to determine formulae for the number of representations of a natural number by the octonary quadratic forms $a(x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2+x_4^2)+b(x_5^2+x_6^2+x_7^2+x_8^2)$, where $(a,b) = (1,11), (1,13)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The sets of natural numbers, non-negative integers, integers, rational numbers, real numbers and complex numbers, are denoted by $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{N}_0$, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$, respectively.

Suppose that $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We define the sum of positive divisors of n to the power of k, $\sigma_k(n)$, by

$$\sigma_k(n) = \sum_{0<d|n} d^k. \tag{1.1}$$

We write $\sigma(n)$ as a synonym for $\sigma_1(n)$ and we set $\sigma_k(m) = 0$ if $m \notin \mathbb{N}$.

The convolution sum, $W_{(\alpha,\beta)}(n)$, is defined for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha \leq \beta$ as follows:

$$W_{(\alpha,\beta)}(n) = \sum_{\substack{(l,m)\in\mathbb{N}_0^2\\ \alpha l+\beta m=n}}\sigma(l)\sigma(m). \tag{1.2}$$

We write $W_\beta(n)$ as a short hand for $W_{(1,\beta)}(n)$.

For those convolution sums $W_{(\alpha,\beta)}(n)$ that have so far been evaluated, the values of (α,β) are given in Table 1. We evaluate the convolution sums for $(\alpha,\beta) = (1,44)$, $(4,11)$, $(1,52)$, $(4,13)$, i.e., $\alpha\beta = 44$ and $\alpha\beta = 52$. The evaluation of these convolution sums have not been done yet according to Table 1.

Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\gcd(a,b) = 1$ and $\gcd(c,d) = 1$. The convolution sums are generally used to determine explicit formulae for the number of representations of a positive integer n by the octonary quadratic forms

$$a(x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2+x_4^2)+b(x_5^2+x_6^2+x_7^2+x_8^2), \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$c(x_1^2+x_1x_2+x_2^2+x_3^2+x_3x_4+x_4^2)+d(x_5^2+x_5x_6+x_6^2+x_7^2+x_7x_8+x_8^2), \tag{1.4}$$

respectively.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A25, 11E20, 11E25, 11F11, 11F20, 11F27.

Key words and phrases. Sums of Divisors function; Convolution Sums; Dedekind eta function; Modular Forms; Eisenstein Series; Cusp Forms; Octonary quadratic Forms; Number of Representations.

We use the evaluated convolution sums and other known convolution sums to determine formulae for the number of representations of a positive integer n by the octonary quadratic form Equation 1.3 for which $(a,b) = (1,11),(1,13)$. These number of representations are also new according to Table 2 which displays known explicit formulae for the number of representations of n by the octonary form Equation 1.3.

We have organized this paper as follows. In Section 2 we briefly discuss modular forms and define eta functions and convolution sums. Then in Section 3 we discuss our main results on the evaluation of the convolution sums; the main results on the formulae for the number of representations of a positive integer n are given in Section 4.

We use a software for symbolic scientific computation to obtain the results of this paper. The open source software packages *GiNaC*, *Maxima*, *REDUCE*, *SAGE* and the commercial software package *MAPLE* build this software.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We consider the upper half-plane, $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$, and the group $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ of 2×2-matrices $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ such that $a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{R}$ and $ad-bc = 1$. Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a subset of G and let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\Gamma(N) \quad = \left\{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \equiv \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \pmod N \right\}$$

is a subgroup of Γ. The subgroup $\Gamma(N)$ is called a *principal congruence subgroup of level N*. If a subgroup H of G contains $\Gamma(N)$, then it is a *congruence subgroup of level N*.

For our purpose we consider the congruence subgroup

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod N \right\}.$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $f^{[\gamma]_k} : \mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\} \to \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the function whose value at z is $f^{[\gamma]_k}(z) = (cz+d)^{-k} f(\gamma(z))$. The following definition is based on N. Koblitz's textbook [15, p. 108].

Definition 2.1. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, f be a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{H}$ and $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ be a congruence subgroup of level N.

(a) f is a *modular function of weight k* for Γ' if
  (a1) $f^{[\gamma]_k} = f$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma'$,
  (a2) for any $\delta \in \Gamma$ it holds that $f^{[\delta]_k}(z)$ can be expressed in the form $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_n e^{\frac{2\pi i z n}{N}}$, wherein $a_n \neq 0$ for finitely many $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n < 0$.

(b) f is a *modular form of weight k* for Γ' if
  (b1) f is a modular function of weight k for Γ',
  (b2) f is holomorphic on $\mathbb{H}$,
  (b3) $a_n = 0$ for all $\delta \in \Gamma$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n < 0$.

(c) f is a *cusp form of weight k for* Γ' if
  (c1) f is a modular form of weight k for Γ',
  (c2) $a_0 = 0$ for all $\delta \in \Gamma$.

Let $k, N \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be the space of modular forms of weight k for $\Gamma_0(N)$, $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ the subspace of cusp forms of weight k for $\Gamma_0(N)$, and $\mathbb{E}_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ the subspace of Eisenstein forms of weight k for $\Gamma_0(N)$. In W. A. Stein's book (online version) [25, p. 81] it is shown that $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N)) = \mathbb{E}_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \oplus \mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma_0(N))$.

According to Section 5.3 of W. A. Stein's book [25, p. 86] $E_k(q) = 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma_{k-1}(n)\,q^n$, where B_k are the Bernoulli numbers, if the primitive Dirichlet characters are trivial and $2 \leq k$ is even.

We only consider trivial primitive Dirichlet characters and $4 \leq k$ even in the sequel. Based on this consideration Theorems 5.8 and 5.9 in Section 5.3 of [25, p. 86] also hold.

2.1. **Eta Functions.** On the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$ the Dedekind eta function, $\eta(z)$, is defined by $\eta(z) = e^{\frac{2\pi iz}{24}}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-e^{2\pi inz})$. When we set $q = e^{2\pi iz}$, then

$$\eta(z) = q^{\frac{1}{24}}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n) = q^{\frac{1}{24}}F(q), \qquad \text{where } F(q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n).$$

L. J. P. Kilford's book [14, p. 99] and G. Köhler's book [16, p. 37] have a proof of the following theorem which we will apply to determine eta functions which belong to $M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$, and particularly those eta functions that belong to $S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$. As noted by A. Alaca et al. [1] credit to this theorem also goes to M. Newman [20, 21].

Theorem 2.2 (M. Newman and G. Ligozat)**.** *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f(z) = \prod_{1\leq\delta|N}\eta^{r_\delta}(\delta z)$ be an eta function which satisfies the following conditions:*

(i) $\sum_{1\leq\delta|N}\delta r_\delta \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$, ***(ii)*** $\sum_{1\leq\delta|N}\frac{N}{\delta}r_\delta \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$,

(iii) $\prod_{1\leq\delta|N}\delta^{r_\delta}$ *is a square in* $\mathbb{Q}$, ***(iv)*** $k = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\leq\delta|N}r_\delta$ *is an even integer,*

(v) *for each positive divisor d of N, the inequality* $\sum_{1\leq\delta|N}\frac{gcd(\delta,d)^2}{\delta}r_\delta \geq 0$ *holds.*

Then $f(z) \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$.

If ***(v)*** *is replaced by*

(v') *for each positive divisor d of N the inequality* $\sum_{1\leq\delta|N}\frac{gcd(\delta,d)^2}{\delta}r_\delta > 0$ *holds*

then $f(z) \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$.

2.2. **Evaluating $W_{(\alpha,\beta)}(n)$.** Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\alpha \leq \beta$. We let the convolution sum, $W_{(\alpha,\beta)}(n)$, be defined as in Equation 1.2.

Following the observation by A. Alaca et al. [2], we assume that $\gcd(\alpha,\beta) = 1$. Suppose that $q \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that $|q| < 1$. We define the Eisenstein series $L(q)$ and $M(q)$ by

$$L(q) = E_2(q) = 1 - 24\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma(n)q^n, \tag{2.1}$$

$$M(q) = E_4(q) = 1 + 240\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma_3(n)q^n. \tag{2.2}$$

The following two results whose proofs are given by A. Alaca et al. [1] are essential for the sequel of this work

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$(\alpha L(q^\alpha) - \beta L(q^\beta))^2 \in M_4(\Gamma_0(\alpha\beta)).$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that α and β are relatively prime and $\alpha < \beta$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha L(q^\alpha) - \beta L(q^\beta))^2 =&(\alpha-\beta)^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Bigg(240\alpha^2\sigma_3(\frac{n}{\alpha}) + 240\beta^2\sigma_3(\frac{n}{\beta}) \\ &+ 48\alpha(\beta-6n)\sigma(\frac{n}{\alpha}) + 48\beta(\alpha-6n)\sigma(\frac{n}{\beta}) \\ &- 1152\alpha\beta W_{(\alpha,\beta)}(n)\Bigg)q^n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

3. Evaluation of the convolution sums $W_{(\alpha,\beta)}(n)$, where $\alpha\beta = 44, 52$

We give explicit formulae for the convolution sums $W_{(1,44)}(n)$, $W_{(4,11)}(n)$, $W_{(1,52}(n)$ and $W_{(4,13}(n)$.

3.1. **Bases for $\mathbb{E}_4(\Gamma_0(\alpha\beta))$ and $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(\alpha\beta))$ with $\alpha\beta = 44, 52$.** We apply the dimension formulae for the space of Eisenstein forms and the space of cusp forms in T. Miyake's book [19, Thrm 2.5.2, p. 60] or W. A. Stein's book [25, Prop. 6.1, p. 91] to compute $\dim(\mathbb{E}_4(\Gamma_0(44))) = \dim(\mathbb{E}_4(\Gamma_0(52))) = 6$, $\dim(\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(44)) = 15$ and $\dim(\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(52)) = 18$.

Let $D(44) = \{1, 2, 4, 11, 22, 44\}$ and $D(52) = \{1, 2, 4, 13, 26, 52\}$ be the sets of positive divisors of 44 and 52, respectively.

We apply Theorem 2.2 $(i) - (v')$ to determine as many elements of $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(44))$ and $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(52))$ as possible. From these elements we then determine the basis elements.

Theorem 3.1. ***(a)*** *The sets $\mathcal{B}_{E,44} = \{ M(q^t) \mid t \in D(44) \}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{E,52} = \{ M(q^t) \mid t \in D(52) \}$ are bases of $\mathbb{E}_4(\Gamma_0(44))$ and $\mathbb{E}_4(\Gamma_0(52))$, respectively.*

(b) *Let $1 \le i \le 15$ and $1 \le j \le 18$ be positive integers.*

Let $\delta_1 \in D(44)$ and $(r(i,\delta_1))_{i,\delta_1}$ be the Table 4 of the powers of $\eta(\delta_1 z)$.

Let $\delta_2 \in D(52)$ and $(r(j,\delta_2))_{j,\delta_2}$ be the Table 3 of the powers of $\eta(\delta_2 z)$.

Let furthermore $A_i(q) = \prod_{\delta_1|44} \eta^{r(i,\delta_1)}(\delta_1 z)$ and $B_j(q) = \prod_{\delta_2|52} \eta^{r(j,\delta_2)}(\delta_2 z)$ be selected elements of $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(44))$ and $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(52))$, respectively.

Then the sets $\mathcal{B}_{S,44} = \{ A_i(q) \mid 1 \le i \le 15 \}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{S,52} = \{ B_j(q) \mid 1 \le j \le 18 \}$ are bases of $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(44))$ and $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(52))$, repectively.

(c) *The sets $\mathcal{B}_{M,44} = \mathcal{B}_{E,44} \cup \mathcal{B}_{S,44}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{M,52} = \mathcal{B}_{E,52} \cup \mathcal{B}_{S,52}$ constitute bases of $\mathbb{M}_4(\Gamma_0(44))$ and $\mathbb{M}_4(\Gamma_0(52))$, respectively.*

For $1 \le i \le 15$ and $1 \le j \le 18$ the eta quotients $A_i(q)$ and $B_j(q)$ can be expressed in the form $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_i(n)q^n$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_j(n)q^n$, respectively.

Proof. We only prove the case $\alpha\beta = 44$. The case $\alpha\beta = 52$ is proved similarly.

(a) When we apply Theorem 5.8 in Section 5.3 of W. A. Stein [25, p. 86], it follows that $M(q^t)$ belongs to $\mathbb{M}_4(\Gamma_0(t))$ for each $t \in D(44)$. Since $\mathbb{E}_4(\Gamma_0(44))$ has a finite dimension, it is sufficient to show that the set of $M(q^t)$ such that $t \in D(44)$ is linearly independent. Suppose that $x_t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $t|44$. Then

$$\sum_{t|44} x_t M(q^t) = \sum_{t|44} x_t + 240 \sum_{n\ge1}\left(\sum_{t|44} x_t \sigma_3(\frac{n}{t})\right)q^n = 0.$$

We compare the coefficients of q^n for $n \in D(44)$ to obtain the following homogeneous system of 6 equations in 6 unknowns:

$$\sum_{u|44} \sigma_3(\frac{t}{u}) x_u = 0, \qquad t \in D(44).$$

The matrix of this homogeneous system of equations is triangular with positive integer values, 1, on the diagonal. Hence, the solution is $x_t = 0$ for all $t \in D(44)$. Therefore, the set $\mathcal{B}_E$ is linearly independent and hence is a basis of $I\!E_4(\Gamma_0(44))$.

(b) As mentioned above, the $A_i(q)$ with $1 \leq i \leq 15$ are obtained from an exhaustive search using Theorem 2.2 $(i)-(v')$. Hence, each $A_i(q)$ is in the space $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(44))$.

Since the dimension of $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(44))$ is 15, it is sufficient to show that the set $\{A_i(q) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 15\}$ is linearly independent. For that suppose that $x_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{15} x_i A_i(q) = 0$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{15} x_i A_i(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{i=1}^{15} x_i a_i(n)) q^n = 0$$

which gives the following homogeneous system of equations in 15 unknowns

$$\sum_{i=1}^{15} a_i(n) x_i = 0, \qquad 1 \leq n \leq 15. \tag{3.1}$$

A computation using a software package for (symbolic) scientific computation shows that the determinant of the matrix of this homogeneous system of equations is non-zero. So, $x_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 15$. Hence, the set $\{A_i(q) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 15\}$ is linearly independent and therefore a basis of $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(44))$.

(c) Since $I\!M_4(\Gamma_0(44)) = I\!E_4(\Gamma_0(44)) \oplus \mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(44))$, the result follows from (a) and (b).

□

We observe that the basis elements

(o1) $A_i(q)$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$, come from $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(22))$ which is the space of cusp forms necessary for the evaluation of the convolution sums $W_{22}(n)$ and $W_{(2,11)}(n)$ given by A. Alaca et al. [1]. The element $A_2(q)$ is inherited from $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(11))$ which is part of $I\!M_4(\Gamma_0(11))$; the convolution sum $W_{11}(n)$ is evatuated by E. Royer [24].

(o2) $A_{2i}(q) = A_i(q^2)$, for $i = 2,3,4,5$. Therefore, $a_{2i}(n) = a_i(\frac{n}{2})$, for $i = 2,3,4,5$.

(o3) $B_j(q)$, $1 \leq j \leq 7$, $B_{15}(q)$ and $B_{17}(q)$ are imported from $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(26))$ which is the space of cusp forms required for the evaluation of the convolution sums $W_{26}(n)$ and $W_{(2,13)}(n)$ given by A. Alaca et al. [1].

(o4) $B_{2j}(q) = B_j(q^2)$, for $4 \leq j \leq 7$, $B_{16}(q) = B_{15}(q^2)$ and $B_{18}(q) = B_{17}(q^2)$. Consequently, $b_{2j}(n) = b_j(\frac{n}{2})$, for $4 \leq j \leq 7$, $b_{16}(n) = b_{15}(\frac{n}{2})$ and $b_{18}(n) = b_{17}(\frac{n}{2})$.

The above observation is based on the fact that

$$I\!M_4(\Gamma_0(11)) \subset I\!M_4(\Gamma_0(22)) \subset I\!M_4(\Gamma_0(44)) \quad \text{and}$$
$$I\!M_4(\Gamma_0(13)) \subset I\!M_4(\Gamma_0(26)) \subset I\!M_4(\Gamma_0(52)).$$

As mentioned in (o1) above, the eta quotient $A_2(q)$ is a basis element of $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(11))$. Hence, basis elements of $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(11))$ can be determined using Theorem 2.2. There is no basis element of $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(13))$ in the space of cusp forms $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(26))$; this is an indication that there is no basis element of $\mathcal{S}_4(\Gamma_0(13))$ that can be determined using Theorem 2.2.

3.2. **Evaluation of $W_{(\alpha,\beta)}(n)$ when $\alpha\beta = 44, 52$.**

Lemma 3.2. *We have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.2)\quad (L(q)-44L(q^{44}))^2 = 1849 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Bigg(
&\frac{124464}{61}\sigma_3(n) - \frac{577662336}{40565}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{2})\\
&+\frac{68986368}{5795}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{4}) - \frac{174240}{61}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{11}) + \frac{62064288}{5795}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{22}) + \frac{2525690112}{5795}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{44})\\
&+\frac{1440}{61}a_1(n) - \frac{82927872}{5795}a_2(n) - \frac{887345568}{5795}a_3(n) - \frac{1676429568}{5795}a_4(n)\\
&-\frac{2804007168}{5795}a_5(n) + \frac{3753380736}{5795}a_6(n) - \frac{13356288}{19}a_7(n) + \frac{4226609664}{5795}a_8(n)\\
&-\frac{633600}{19}a_9(n) - \frac{527332608}{1159}a_{10}(n) + \frac{7679232}{19}a_{11}(n) - \frac{15231744}{95}a_{12}(n)\\
&-\frac{131079168}{95}a_{13}(n) + \frac{317952}{19}a_{14}(n) - \frac{12595968}{95}a_{15}(n)\Bigg)q^n,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.3)\quad (4L(q^4)-11L(q^{11}))^2 = 49 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Bigg(
&-\frac{110880}{61}\sigma_3(n) + \frac{80121888}{5795}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{2})\\
&-\frac{48338688}{5795}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{4}) + \frac{1817904}{61}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{11}) - \frac{98480448}{5795}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{22}) - \frac{27320832}{5795}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{44})\\
&+\frac{110880}{61}a_1(n) + \frac{174857472}{5795}a_2(n) + \frac{1169427168}{5795}a_3(n) + \frac{2114189568}{5795}a_4(n)\\
&+\frac{3025513728}{5795}a_5(n) - \frac{3511080576}{5795}a_6(n) + \frac{13318272}{19}a_7(n) - \frac{3641762304}{5795}a_8(n)\\
&+\frac{633600}{19}a_9(n) + \frac{663913728}{1159}a_{10}(n) - \frac{7679232}{19}a_{11}(n) + \frac{15231744}{95}a_{12}(n)\\
&+\frac{131079168}{95}a_{13}(n) - \frac{317952}{19}a_{14}(n) + \frac{12595968}{95}a_{15}(n)\Bigg)q^n,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$(3.4)\quad (L(q)-52L(q^{52}))^2 = 2601+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Bigg(\frac{6109008}{1243}\sigma_3(n)-\frac{456504084816}{6064597}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{2})$$
$$+\frac{254592}{41}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{4})-\frac{7361952}{1243}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{13})-\frac{4829528827344}{6064597}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{26})$$
$$+\frac{434738304}{41}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{52})-\frac{3066144}{1243}b_1(n)+\frac{498157179048}{6064597}b_2(n)$$
$$+\frac{927327070704}{6064597}b_3(n)-\frac{442577500560}{6064597}b_4(n)-\frac{8530413669648}{6064597}b_5(n)$$
$$-\frac{10161699732288}{6064597}b_6(n)-\frac{10388366352}{1243}b_7(n)+\frac{1040832}{41}b_8(n)$$
$$+7488b_9(n)+\frac{329100929664}{147917}b_{10}(n)+27456b_{11}(n)$$
$$-\frac{15249288510144}{6064597}b_{12}(n)+17472b_{13}(n)+\frac{47009664}{41}b_{14}(n)$$
$$-\frac{25166713896}{551327}b_{15}(n)+\frac{4167031826880}{6064597}b_{16}(n)-\frac{126425023920}{6064597}b_{17}(n)$$
$$+\frac{868608}{41}b_{18}(n)\Bigg)q^n,$$

$$(3.5)\quad (4L(q^4)-13L(q^{13}))^2 = 81+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Bigg(\frac{3066144}{1243}\sigma_3(n)-\frac{240061230672}{6064597}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{2})$$
$$+\frac{139392}{41}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{4})+\frac{45798672}{1243}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{13})-\frac{53922031824}{6064597}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{26})$$
$$+\frac{20290176}{41}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{52})-\frac{3066144}{1243}b_1(n)+\frac{212735819880}{6064597}b_2(n)$$
$$+\frac{251848851024}{6064597}b_3(n)-\frac{400561037808}{6064597}b_4(n)-\frac{5152459820400}{6064597}b_5(n)$$
$$-\frac{5408748312192}{6064597}b_6(n)-\frac{5489355312}{1243}b_7(n)+\frac{150336}{41}b_8(n)$$
$$-7488b_9(n)+\frac{151016538432}{147917}b_{10}(n)-27456b_{11}(n)$$
$$-\frac{8224832431680}{6064597}b_{12}(n)-17472b_{13}(n)-\frac{544896}{41}b_{14}(n)$$
$$-\frac{11115614088}{551327}b_{15}(n)+\frac{2056953609600}{6064597}b_{16}(n)-\frac{64745693328}{6064597}b_{17}(n)$$
$$-\frac{2304}{41}b_{18}(n)\Bigg)q^n.$$

Proof. We just prove the case $(4L(q^4)-11L(q^{11}))^2$. The other cases are proved similarly.

From Lemma 2.3 it follows that $(4L(q^4)-11L(q^{11}))^2 \in M_4(\Gamma_0(44))$. Hence, by Theorem 3.1 (c), there exist $X_\delta, Y_j \in \mathbb{C}, 1 \le j \le 15$ and $\delta \in D(44)$, such that

$$(3.6)\quad (4L(q^4)-11L(q^{11}))^2 = \sum_{\delta|44}X_\delta M(q^\delta)+\sum_{j=1}^{15}Y_jA_j(q)$$
$$=\sum_{\delta|44}X_\delta+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Bigg(240\sum_{\delta|44}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{\delta})X_\delta+\sum_{j=1}^{m_S}a_j(n)Y_j\Bigg)q^n.$$

We compare the right hand side of Equation 3.6 with that of Equation 2.3 when we have set $(\alpha,\beta)=(4,11)$ to obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Bigg(240\sum_{\delta|44}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{\delta})X_\delta+\sum_{j=1}^{15}a_j(n)Y_j\Bigg)q^n=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Bigg(3840\sigma_3(\frac{n}{4})+29040\sigma_3(\frac{n}{11})$$
$$+192(11-6n)\sigma(\frac{n}{4})+528(4-6n)\sigma(\frac{n}{11})-50688W_{(4,11)}(n)\Bigg)q^n.$$

We then take the coefficients of q^n for which n is in

$$\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,20,22,44\}.$$

to obtain a system of linear equations whose resolution using a software package for symbolic scientific computation yields the unique solution which determines the values of the unknowns X_δ for all $\delta\in D(44)$ and the values of the unkowns Y_j for all $1\leq j\leq 15$. Therefore, we get the stated result. □

Our main result of this section will now be stated and proved.

Theorem 3.3. *Let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}W_{(1,44)}(n)=&-\frac{13}{366}\sigma_3(n)+\frac{501443}{1784860}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{2})-\frac{1361}{5795}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{4})+\frac{55}{976}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{11})\\&-\frac{19591}{92720}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{22})+\frac{9878}{17385}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{44})+(\frac{1}{24}-\frac{1}{176}n)\sigma(n)\\&+(\frac{1}{24}-\frac{1}{4}n)\sigma(\frac{n}{44})-\frac{5}{10736}a_1(n)+\frac{35993}{127490}a_2(n)\\&+\frac{3081061}{1019920}a_3(n)+\frac{66147}{11590}a_4(n)+\frac{1217017}{127490}a_5(n)-\frac{3258143}{254980}a_6(n)\\&+\frac{527}{38}a_7(n)-\frac{917233}{63745}a_8(n)+\frac{25}{38}a_9(n)+\frac{20807}{2318}a_{10}(n)\\&-\frac{303}{38}a_{11}(n)+\frac{601}{190}a_{12}(n)+\frac{2586}{95}a_{13}(n)-\frac{69}{209}a_{14}(n)+\frac{497}{190}a_{15}(n),\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}W_{(4,11)}(n)=&\frac{35}{976}\sigma_3(n)-\frac{25291}{92720}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{2})+\frac{4178}{17385}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{4})-\frac{11}{732}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{11})\\&+\frac{15543}{46360}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{22})+\frac{539}{5795}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{44})+(\frac{1}{24}-\frac{1}{44}n)\sigma(\frac{n}{4})\\&+(\frac{1}{24}-\frac{1}{16}n)\sigma(\frac{n}{11})-\frac{35}{976}a_1(n)-\frac{75893}{127490}a_2(n)\\&-\frac{4060511}{1019920}a_3(n)-\frac{917617}{127490}a_4(n)-\frac{1313157}{127490}a_5(n)+\frac{3047813}{254980}a_6(n)\\&-\frac{1051}{76}a_7(n)+\frac{790313}{63745}a_8(n)-\frac{25}{38}a_9(n)-\frac{288157}{25498}a_{10}(n)\\&+\frac{303}{38}a_{11}(n)-\frac{601}{190}a_{12}(n)-\frac{2586}{95}a_{13}(n)+\frac{69}{209}a_{14}(n)-\frac{497}{190}a_{15}(n),\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{(1,52)}(n) = &-\frac{97}{1243}\sigma_3(n)+\frac{731577059}{582201312}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{2})-\frac{17}{164}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{4})+\frac{5899}{59664}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{13})\\
&+\frac{7739629531}{582201312}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{26})-\frac{81757}{492}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{52})+(\frac{1}{24}-\frac{1}{208}n)\sigma(n)\\
&+(\frac{1}{24}-\frac{1}{4}n)\sigma(\frac{n}{52})+\frac{31939}{775632}b_1(n)-\frac{6918849709}{5045744704}b_2(n)\\
&-\frac{19319313973}{7568617056}b_3(n)+\frac{236419605}{194067104}b_4(n)+\frac{4556844909}{194067104}b_5(n)\\
&+\frac{1357064601}{48516776}b_6(n)+\frac{5549341}{39776}b_7(n)-\frac{139}{328}b_8(n)\\
&-\frac{1}{8}b_9(n)-\frac{65925667}{1775004}b_{10}(n)-\frac{11}{24}b_{11}(n)+\frac{2036496863}{48516776}b_{12}(n)\\
&-\frac{7}{24}b_{13}(n)-\frac{3139}{164}b_{14}(n)+\frac{349537693}{458704064}b_{15}(n)\\
&-\frac{556494635}{48516776}b_{16}(n)+\frac{67534735}{194067104}b_{17}(n)-\frac{29}{82}b_{18}(n),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.9}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{(4,13)}(n) = &-\frac{31939}{775632}\sigma_3(n)+\frac{5001275639}{7568617056}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{2})+\frac{47}{6396}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{4})+\frac{24049}{387816}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{13})\\
&+\frac{1123375663}{7568617056}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{26})-\frac{17613}{2132}\sigma_3(\frac{n}{52})+(\frac{1}{24}-\frac{1}{52}n)\sigma(\frac{n}{4})\\
&+(\frac{1}{24}-\frac{1}{16}n)\sigma(\frac{n}{13})+\frac{31939}{775632}b_1(n)-\frac{2954664165}{5045744704}b_2(n)\\
&-\frac{5246851063}{7568617056}b_3(n)+\frac{8345021621}{7568617056}b_4(n)+\frac{35780970975}{2522872352}b_5(n)\\
&+\frac{4695094021}{315359044}b_6(n)+\frac{38120523}{517088}b_7(n)-\frac{261}{4264}b_8(n)\\
&+\frac{1}{8}b_9(n)-\frac{786544471}{46150104}b_{10}(n)+\frac{11}{24}b_{11}(n)+\frac{42837668915}{1892154264}b_{12}(n)\\
&+\frac{7}{24}b_{13}(n)+\frac{473}{2132}b_{14}(n)+\frac{154383529}{458704064}b_{15}(n)\\
&-\frac{5356650025}{946077132}b_{16}(n)+\frac{1348868611}{7568617056}b_{17}(n)+\frac{1}{1066}b_{18}(n).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.10}
$$

Proof. We prove the case $W_{(4,13)}(n)$ as the other cases are proved similarly.

We compare the right hand side of Equation 3.5 with that of Equation 2.3 when we have set $(\alpha,\beta)=(4,13)$, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Bigg(\,& 3840\,\sigma_3(\frac{n}{4})+40560\,\sigma_3(\frac{n}{13})+192\,(13-6n)\,\sigma(\frac{n}{4})\\
&+624\,(4-6n)\,\sigma(\frac{n}{13})-59904\,W_{(4,13)}(n)\Bigg)q^n=\\
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Bigg(\,& \frac{3066144}{1243}\,\sigma_3(n)-\frac{240061230672}{6064597}\,\sigma_3(\frac{n}{2})+\frac{139392}{41}\,\sigma_3(\frac{n}{4})\\
&+\frac{45798672}{1243}\,\sigma_3(\frac{n}{13})-\frac{53922031824}{6064597}\,\sigma_3(\frac{n}{26})+\frac{20290176}{41}\,\sigma_3(\frac{n}{52})\\
&-\frac{3066144}{1243}\,b_1(n)+\frac{212735819880}{6064597}\,b_2(n)+\frac{251848851024}{6064597}\,b_3(n)-\frac{400561037808}{6064597}\,b_4(n)\\
&-\frac{5152459820400}{6064597}\,b_5(n)-\frac{5408748312192}{6064597}\,b_6(n)-\frac{5489355312}{1243}\,b_7(n)\\
&+\frac{150336}{41}\,b_8(n)-7488\,b_9(n)+\frac{151016538432}{147917}\,b_{10}(n)-27456\,b_{11}(n)\\
&-\frac{8224832431680}{6064597}\,b_{12}(n)-17472\,b_{13}(n)-\frac{544896}{41}\,b_{14}(n)-\frac{11115614088}{551327}\,b_{15}(n)\\
&+\frac{2056953609600}{6064597}\,b_{16}(n)-\frac{64745693328}{6064597}\,b_{17}(n)-\frac{2304}{41}\,b_{18}(n)\Bigg)q^n
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain the stated result when we solve for $W_{(4,13)}(n)$. □

4. Number of Representations of a positive Integer n by the Octonary Quadratic Form using $W_{(\alpha,\beta)}(n)$ when $\alpha\beta=44,52$

Let $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and then assune that $r_4(n)$ denote the number of representations of n by the quaternary quadratic form $x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2+x_4^2$ which is defined by

$$r_4(n)=\text{card}(\{(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)\in\mathbb{Z}^4\mid n=x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2+x_4^2\}).$$

Obviously $r_4(0)=1$. The Jacobi's identity

$$\forall n\in\mathbb{N}\qquad r_4(n)=8\sigma(n)-32\sigma(\frac{n}{4}). \tag{4.1}$$

is proved in K. S. Williams' book [28, Thrm 9.5, p. 83]; it will be very useful in the following.

Let $a,b\in\mathbb{N}$ and let $N_{(a,b)}(n)$ denote the number of representations of n by the octonary quadratic form

$$a\,(x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2+x_4^2)+b\,(x_5^2+x_6^2+x_7^2+x_8^2)$$

which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{(a,b)}(n)=\text{card}(\{(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5,x_6,x_7,x_8)\in\mathbb{Z}^8\mid n=a\,(x_1^2+x_2^2\\
+x_3^2+x_4^2)+b\,(x_5^2+x_6^2+x_7^2+x_8^2)\}).
\end{aligned}$$

We then deduce the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(a,b) = (1,11),(1,13)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{(1,11)}(n) =& 8\sigma(n) - 32\sigma(\frac{n}{4}) + 8\sigma(\frac{n}{11}) - 32\sigma(\frac{n}{44}) \\
&+ 64W_{(1,11)}(n) + 1024W_{(1,11)}(\frac{n}{4}) - 256\left(W_{(4,11)}(n) + W_{(1,44)}(n)\right), \\
N_{(1,13)}(n) =& 8\sigma(n) - 32\sigma(\frac{n}{4}) + 8\sigma(\frac{n}{13}) - 32\sigma(\frac{n}{52}) \\
&+ 64W_{(1,13)}(n) + 1024W_{(1,13)}(\frac{n}{4}) - 256\left(W_{(4,13)}(n) + W_{(1,52)}(n)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only prove $N_{(1,11)}(n)$ since that for $N_{(1,13)}(n)$ is done similarly.

From the definition of $N_{(1,11)}(n)$ it follows that

$$N_{(1,11)}(n) = \sum_{\substack{(l,m)\in\mathbb{N}_0^2 \\ l+11m=n}} r_4(l)r_4(m) = r_4(n)r_4(0) + r_4(0)r_4(\frac{n}{11}) + \sum_{\substack{(l,m)\in\mathbb{N}^2 \\ l+11m=n}} r_4(l)r_4(m).$$

We apply Equation 4.1 to derive

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{(1,11)}(n) =& 8\sigma(n) - 32\sigma(\frac{n}{4}) + 8\sigma(\frac{n}{11}) - 32\sigma(\frac{n}{52}) \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{(l,m)\in\mathbb{N}^2 \\ l+11m=n}} (8\sigma(l) - 32\sigma(\frac{l}{4}))(8\sigma(m) - 32\sigma(\frac{m}{4})).
\end{aligned}$$

From this previous identity we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(8\sigma(l) - 32\sigma(\frac{l}{4}))(8\sigma(m) - 32\sigma(\frac{m}{4})) =& 64\sigma(l)\sigma(m) - 256\sigma(\frac{l}{4})\sigma(m) \\
&- 256\sigma(l)\sigma(\frac{m}{4}) + 1024\sigma(\frac{l}{4})\sigma(\frac{m}{4}).
\end{aligned}$$

E. Royer [24, Thrm 1.3] has shown the evaluation of

$$W_{(1,11)}(n) = \sum_{\substack{(l,m)\in\mathbb{N}^2 \\ l+11m=n}} \sigma(l)\sigma(m).$$

When we assign $4l$ to l, then we infer

$$W_{(4,11)}(n) = \sum_{\substack{(l,m)\in\mathbb{N}^2 \\ l+11m=n}} \sigma(\frac{l}{4})\sigma(m) = \sum_{\substack{(l,m)\in\mathbb{N}^2 \\ 4l+11m=n}} \sigma(l)\sigma(m).$$

The evaluation of $W_{(4,11)}(n)$ is given in Equation 3.8. When we next assign $4m$ to m, we conclude that

$$W_{(1,44)}(n) = \sum_{\substack{(l,m)\in\mathbb{N}^2 \\ l+11m=n}} \sigma(l)\sigma(\frac{m}{4}) = \sum_{\substack{(l,m)\in\mathbb{N}^2 \\ l+44m=n}} \sigma(l)\sigma(m).$$

The evaluation of $W_{(1,44)}(n)$ is provided by Equation 3.7. When we simultaneously assign $4l$ to l and $4m$ to m, we deduce that

$$\sum_{\substack{(l,m)\in\mathbb{N}^2 \\ l+11m=n}} \sigma(\frac{l}{4})\sigma(\frac{m}{4}) = \sum_{\substack{(l,m)\in\mathbb{N}^2 \\ l+11m=\frac{n}{4}}} \sigma(l)\sigma(m) = W_{(1,11)}(\frac{n}{4}).$$

Again, E. Royer [24, Thrm 1.3] has proved the evaluation of $W_{(1,11)}(n)$.

We then bring these evaluations together to obtain the stated result for $N_{(1,11)}(n)$. □

REFERENCES

[1] A. Alaca, Ş. Alaca, and E. Ntienjem. Evaluation of the Convolution Sum involving the Sum of Divisors Function for 14, 22 and 26. *ArXiv e-prints*, apr 2016.

[2] A. Alaca, Ş. Alaca, and K. S. Williams. Evaluation of the convolution sums $\sum_{l+12m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$ and $\sum_{3l+4m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$. *Adv Theor Appl Math*, 1(1):27–48, 2006.

[3] A. Alaca, Ş. Alaca, and K. S. Williams. Evaluation of the convolution sums $\sum_{l+18m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$ and $\sum_{2l+9m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$. *Int Math Forum*, 2(2):45–68, 2007.

[4] A. Alaca, Ş. Alaca, and K. S. Williams. Evaluation of the convolution sums $\sum_{l+24m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$ and $\sum_{3l+8m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$. *Math J Okayama Univ*, 49:93–111, 2007.

[5] A. Alaca, Ş. Alaca, and K. S. Williams. The convolution sum $\sum_{m<\frac{n}{16}} \sigma(m)\sigma(n-16m)$. *Canad Math Bull*, 51(1):3–14, 2008.

[6] Ş. Alaca and Y. Kesicioğlu. Evaluation of the convolution sums $\sum_{l+27m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$ and $\sum_{l+32m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$. *Int J Number Theory*, 12(1):1–13, 2016.

[7] Ş. Alaca and K. S. Williams. Evaluation of the convolution sums $\sum_{l+6m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$ and $\sum_{2l+3m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$. *J Number Theory*, 124(2):490–510, 2007.

[8] M. Besge. Extrait d'une lettre de M Besge à M Liouville. *J Math Pure Appl*, 7:256, 1885.

[9] H. H. Chan and S. Cooper. Powers of theta functions. *Pac J Math*, 235:1–14, 2008.

[10] S. Cooper and P. C. Toh. Quintic and septic Eisenstein series. *Ramanujan J*, 19:163–181, 2009.

[11] S. Cooper and D. Ye. Evaluation of the convolution sums $\sum_{l+20m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$, $\sum_{4l+5m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$ and $\sum_{2l+5m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$. *Int J Number Theory*, 10(6):1386–1394, 2014.

[12] J. W. L. Glaisher. On the square of the series in which the coefficients are the sums of the divisors of the exponents. *Messenger Math*, 14:156–163, 1862.

[13] J. G. Huard, Z. M. Ou, B. K. Spearman, and K. S. Williams. Elementary evaluation of certain convolution sums involving divisor functions. *Number Theory Millenium*, 7:229–274, 2002. A K Peters, Natick, MA.

[14] L. J. P. Kilford. *Modular forms: A classical and computational introduction*. Imperial College Press, London, 2008.

[15] N. Koblitz. *Introduction to Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms*, volume 97 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer Verlag, New York, 2 edition, 1993.

[16] G. Köhler. *Eta Products and Theta Series Identities*, volume 3733 of *Springer Monographs in Mathematics*. Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.

[17] M. Lemire and K. S. Williams. Evaluation of two convolution sums involving the sum of divisors function. *Bull Aust Math Soc*, 73:107–115, 2006.

[18] G. Ligozat. Courbes modulaires de genre 1. *Bull Soc Math France*, 43:5–80, 1975.

[19] T. Miyake. *Modular Forms*. Springer monographs in Mathematics. Springer Verlag, New York, 1989.

[20] M. Newman. Construction and application of a class of modular functions. *Proc Lond Math Soc*, 7(3):334–350, 1957.

[21] M. Newman. Construction and application of a class of modular functions II. *Proc Lond Math Soc*, 9(3):373–387, 1959.

[22] B. Ramakrishnan and B. Sahu. Evaluation of the convolution sums $\sum_{l+15m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$ and $\sum_{3l+5m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$. *Int J Number Theory*, 9(3):799–809, 2013.

[23] S. Ramanujan. On certain arithmetical functions. *T Cambridge Phil Soc*, 22:159–184, 1916.

[24] E. Royer. Evaluating convolution sums of divisor function by quasimodular forms. *Int J Number Theory*, 3(2):231–261, 2007.

[25] W. A. Stein. *Modular Forms, A Computational Approach*, volume 79. American Mathematical Society, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 2011. http://wstein.org/books/modform/modform/.

[26] K. S. Williams. The convolution sum $\sum_{m<\frac{n}{9}} \sigma(m)\sigma(n-9m)$. *Int J Number Theory*, 1(2):193–205, 2005.

[27] K. S. Williams. The convolution sum $\sum_{m<\frac{n}{8}} \sigma(m)\sigma(n-8m)$. *Pac J Math*, 228:387–396, 2006.

[28] K. S. Williams. *Number Theory in the Spirit of Liouville*, volume 76 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.

[29] E. X. W. Xia, X. L. Tian, and O. X. M. Yao. Evaluation of the convolution sum $\sum_{l+25m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$. *Int J Number Theory*, 10(6):1421–1430, 2014.

[30] D. Ye. Evaluation of the convolution sums $\sum_{l+36m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$ and $\sum_{4l+9m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$. *Int J Number Theory*, 11(1):171–183, 2015.

TABLES

(α,β)	**Authors**	**References**
(1,1)	M. Besge, J. W. L. Glaisher, S. Ramanujan	[8, 12, 23]
(1,2),(1,3),(1,4)	J. G. Huard & Z. M. Ou & B. K. Spearman & K. S. Williams	[13]
(1,5),(1,7)	M. Lemire & K. S. Williams, S. Cooper & P. C. Toh	[17, 10]
(1,6),(2,3)	S. Alaca & K. S. Williams	[7]
(1,8), (1,9)	K. S. Williams	[27, 26]
(1,10), (1,11),(1,13), (1,14)	E. Royer	[24]
(1,12),(1,16),(1,18), (1,24),(2,9),(3,4), (3,8)	A. Alaca & S. Alaca & K. S. Williams	[2, 3, 4, 5]
(1,15),(3,5)	B. Ramakrishman & B. Sahu	[22]
(1,20),(2,5),(4,5)	S. Cooper & D. Ye	[11]
(1,23)	H. H. Chan & S. Cooper	[9]
(1,25)	E. X. W. Xia & X. L. Tian & O. X. M. Yao	[29]
(1,27),(1,32)	S. Alaca & Y. Kesicioğlu	[6]
(1,36),(4,9)	D. Ye	[30]
(1,22),(1,26),(2,7), (2,11),(2,13)	A. Alaca & Ş. Alaca & E. Ntienjem	[1]

Table 1: Known convolution sums $W_{(\alpha,\beta)}(n)$

(a,b)	**Authors**	**References**
(1,1),(1,3), (1,9),(2,3)	A. Alaca & Ş. Alaca & E. Ntienjem	[1]
(1,2)	K. S. Williams	[27]
(1,4)	A. Alaca & S. Alaca & K. S. Williams	[3]
(1,5)	S. Cooper & D. Ye	[11]
(1,6)	B. Ramakrishman & B. Sahu	[22]
(1,8)	S. Alaca & Y. Kesicioğlu	[6]

Table 2: Known representations of n by the form Equation 1.3

	1	**2**	**4**	**13**	**26**	**52**

1	1	5	0	3	-1	0
2	3	3	0	1	1	0
3	1	3	0	3	1	0
4	3	1	0	1	3	0
5	1	1	0	3	3	0
6	3	-1	0	1	5	0
7	1	-1	0	3	5	0
8	0	3	1	0	1	3
9	2	1	1	-2	3	3
10	0	1	1	0	3	3
11	2	-1	1	-2	5	3
12	0	3	-1	0	1	5
13	2	1	-1	-2	3	5
14	0	1	-1	0	3	5
15	-1	5	0	5	-1	0
16	0	-1	5	0	5	-1
17	7	-3	0	-3	7	0
18	0	7	-3	0	-3	7

Table 3: Power of η-functions being basis elements for $S_4(\Gamma_0(52))$

	1	**2**	**4**	**11**	**22**	**44**
1	6	-2	0	6	-2	0
2	4	0	0	4	0	0
3	2	2	0	2	2	0
4	0	4	0	0	4	0
5	-2	6	0	-2	6	0
6	0	2	2	0	2	2
7	0	-3	5	0	5	1
8	0	0	4	0	0	4
9	3	0	1	-1	0	5
10	0	-2	6	0	-2	6
11	1	-3	4	-3	5	4
12	2	0	0	2	-4	8
13	0	2	0	0	-2	8
14	-3	9	0	1	1	0
15	0	0	2	0	-4	10

Table 4: Power of η-functions being basis elements for $S_4(\Gamma_0(44))$

CENTRE FOR RESEARCH IN ALGEBRA AND NUMBER THEORY, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CARLETON UNIVERSITY, 1125 COLONEL BY DRIVE, OTTAWA, ONTARIO, K1S 5B6, CANADA
E-mail address: ebenezer.ntienjem@carleton.ca;ntienjem@gmail.com